

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/781,996 02/14/2001		02/14/2001	Teruhiko Nakagawa	H9876.0060/P060	2038		
24998	7590	01/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		HAPIRO MORIN &	VU, TH	VU, THANH T			
2101 L Str	eet, NV	V					
Washingto	n, DC	20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2174				
				DATE MAIL ED. 01/12/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
				09/781,996	3	NAKAGAWA ET A	AL.		
(Offic	Action Summary	}	Examiner		Art Unit			
				Thanh T. V	u	2174			
		ING DATE of this commun	nication appe	ears on the	cov r she t with the c	orrespondenc ad	ldress		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sin	s actior ce this	e to communication(s) file is FINAL. application is in condition is in condition is cordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This a for allowan	action is no	or formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims									
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-13.15 and 16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-13, and 15-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority unde	er 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of (3) Information	Draftsper n Disclos	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o ate			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)		

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to Amendment, filed 06/28/2004.

Claims 1, 4-13, and 15-16 are pending in this application. In the Amendment, claims 2-3, and 14 were cancelled, claim 16 was added, and claims 1, 4-7, 11, 13, 17 were amended. This action is made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-7, and 10-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cheng (US 6,329,986).

As per independent **claim 1**, Cheng teaches an information display method for displaying information about a plurality of users registered in a server, on a terminal of a user of the plurality of users, via a network, the method comprising the steps of: transferring information about the plurality of users from the server to the terminal of the user (col.4, lines 32-37); and differently displaying display contents of information about each user displayed on the terminal of the user, on the basis of registration contents of information about the user (Fig. 1a, col.4, line 57-65), wherein the information about each user includes a file for describing a model in place of each user within a virtual space shared by the plurality of users (col.9, lines 27-50), and the

Art Unit: 2174

virtual space where the model corresponding to each user exists is displayed at the terminal of the user (Fig. 1b, 16 virtual environment, col.4, lines 32-37) and wherein the information about each user includes an interest level of each user in at least one item, and a figure of the model at the level of each user is differently displayed based on a user's interest level about the item (col.22, lines 47-56; Fig. 8, 300 interest specification tool, col.27, line 41- col.28, line 56).

As per claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1, Cheng teaches wherein at least one model of a virtual character prepared by the server exists within the virtual space (Fig. 1b, col.4, lines 57-62).

As per claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, Cheng teaches wherein the information about the plurality of users is retrieved according to predetermined extraction conditions set by the user so that a user satisfying the extraction conditions is extracted (col.6, lines 6-36; col.10, lines 5-19).

As per claim 6, which is dependent on claim 5, Cheng teaches wherein the model corresponding to an extracted user is displayed, and the model corresponding to an unextracted user is not displayed (Fig. 1b, col.4, lines 59-65).

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 5, Cheng teaches wherein the information about extracted user(s) is displayed as a list (col.9, lines 39-51).

As per claim 10, which is dependent on claim 5, Cheng teaches wherein the manager of the server charges to another user for the setting of the extraction conditions by the user (col.29, lines 2-7).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 11, which is dependent on claim 5, Cheng teaches wherein an extracted user is able to charge to the user communicating with the user within the virtual space (col.29, lines 8-22).

As per claim 12, which is dependent on claim 7, Cheng teaches wherein the extracted user is able to charge to the user for displaying the list including the information of the extracted user (col.29, lines 22-42).

Claim 13 is similar in scope to claim 1, and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 16 is similar in scope to claim 1, and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng (US 6,329,986) in view of Farmer et al. ("Farmer", US 6,476,830).

As per claims 8-9, which are dependent on claim 5, Cheng teaches the invention substantially as claimed wherein the user is able to set extraction conditions (col.3, lines 34-39). Cheng does not expressly teach in the case where the user has in advance a permission of sales activities within the virtual space from the server. Farmer teaches a virtual environment, wherein the user has in advance a permission of sales activities within the virtual space from the server

(col.4, lines 41-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the virtual sales activities as taught by Farmer with the virtual environment of Cheng because it provides a measure of controlling the exchange of goods and services for on-line users in conducting sales activities within a community of people with common interests in a virtual world.

As per claim 15, Cheng teaches a method for communicating via a network with a virtual character presented by a server, the method comprising the steps of:

preparing parameters of each plurality of users communicating with the virtual character and varying the parameters of each user depending on the progress of communication of each user with the virtual character, wherein said virtual character has information about each of said plurality of users (col.6, lines 23-36; col. 9, lines 26-33).

Cheng does not teach informing each user a response from the virtual character corresponding to the parameters by and electronic mail at a predetermined timing. Farmer teaches informing each user a response from the virtual character corresponding to the parameters by an electronic mail at a predetermined timing (col.5, lines 10-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the use of email with Cheng's method to allow users more flexibility of interaction choices.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments in the Amendment have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2174

Applicant's primary argument is that Cheng does not teach displaying registered information about a user and displaying information based on the registration content of a user. The examiner does not agree because Chen teaches displaying registered information about a user and displaying information based on the registration content of a user (col. 9, lines 25-33).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh T. Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4073. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and every other Fri 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T. Vu

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100